4.2 WEAR VOLUME DETERMINATIONS. Equations that relate the volume of common configurations of solids with their dimensions are readily available in engineers' handbooks. However, some shapes encountered in contact technology are not adequately covered in the handbooks and deserve further attention.

![Diagram of a round wire formed to a contact hook](image)

Fig. 4-4. Wear or erosion volume of formed wire operated against a flat surface.

The first case is that of a round wire formed to a contact "hook." Wear or erosion will remove the volume as shown in Fig. 4-4, which has a curvature in two directions. The equations relating the dimensions and volume \( V \), provided \( H \leq r \) are:

\[
V = \frac{1}{6} \pi H^3 + \frac{1}{8} \pi LWH \tag{Eq. 4.5}
\]

\[
H = R - \frac{1}{2} (4R^2 - L^2)^{1/2} \tag{Eq. 4.6}
\]

\[
H = r - \frac{1}{2} (4r^2 - W^2)^{1/2} \tag{Eq. 4.7}
\]

\[
L = 2 \left( 2HR - H^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{Eq. 4.8}
\]

\[
W = 2 \left( 2Hr - H^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{Eq. 4.9}
\]

A second case is that of a round wire brush which has worn as a result of
sliding against a larger diameter ring. Here it is assumed that the ring wears negligibly, as is true in most instances, as pictured in Fig. 4-5a.

(a) Worn wire contacting a rotating ring.

(b) The volume worn from the wire shown in (a).

The volume in question cannot be determined from ordinary integration, but Simpson’s Rule can be used with excellent results. As applied to volume, Simpson’s Rule allows us to get the sum of incremental volumes which is corrected for the surface curvature. We note that if planes parallel to the brush axi are passed through the solid, the area generated are rectangular. Thus referring to Fig. 4-5b each incremental area, $A_i = L_iW_i$ where $L_i$ and $W_i$ can be determined from

\[ L_i = 2 \left( 2 h_i R - h_i^3 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{Eq. 4.10} \]

\[ W_i = 2 \left[ 2(H - h_i)R - (H - h_i)^3 \right]^{1/2} \quad \text{Eq. 4.11} \]
MISCELLANEOUS TOPICS

We calculate total volume by passing an odd number \((n)\) of equally spaced planes through the solid (the first and last to be at \(L_1 = 0\) and \(W_1 = 0\), respectively), computing \(A_i\) for each plane, then applying Simpson’s Rule:

\[
V = \frac{\Delta h}{3} \left[ (A_o + A_n) + 4(A_1 + A_3 + A_5 + \cdots + A_{n-1}) + 2(A_2 + A_4 + A_6 + \cdots + A_{n-2}) \right] \tag{Eq. 4.12}
\]

where \(A_o\) and \(A_n\) drop out because one of their axes is zero.

An example in tabular form will help to illustrate the method which is most conveniently handled by a programable desk computer. Let \(R = 250\) units, \(r = 3.5\) units, \(L = 60\) units and \(n = 10\), then from Equation 4.6

\[
H = 250 - \frac{1}{2} (4 \times 250^2 - 60^2)^{1/2} = 1.807
\]

\[
\Delta h = 1.807 \div 10 = .1807
\]

We then apply Equation 4.10, 4.11 and calculate the values in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(n)</th>
<th>(h_i)</th>
<th>(L_i)</th>
<th>(H-h_i)</th>
<th>(W_i)</th>
<th>Odd (A_i)</th>
<th>Even (A_i)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.8070</td>
<td>6.126</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.1807</td>
<td>19.007</td>
<td>1.6263</td>
<td>5.912</td>
<td>112.4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>.3614</td>
<td>26.875</td>
<td>1.4456</td>
<td>5.667</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>152.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>.5421</td>
<td>32.909</td>
<td>1.2649</td>
<td>5.387</td>
<td>177.3</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>.7228</td>
<td>37.994</td>
<td>1.0842</td>
<td>5.065</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>192.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>.9035</td>
<td>42.470</td>
<td>.9035</td>
<td>4.694</td>
<td>199.4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.0842</td>
<td>46.516</td>
<td>.7228</td>
<td>4.260</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>198.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.2649</td>
<td>50.233</td>
<td>.5421</td>
<td>3.742</td>
<td>188.0</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.4456</td>
<td>53.692</td>
<td>.3614</td>
<td>3.098</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>166.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.6263</td>
<td>56.939</td>
<td>.1807</td>
<td>2.220</td>
<td>126.4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.8070</td>
<td>60.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>803.5</td>
<td>709.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
V = \frac{.1807}{3} [4 \times 803.5 + 2 \times 709.2] = 279 \text{ cubic units}
\]

This result compares favorably with the exact value of 282, as determined by a complex computer program. Values more accurate than in the example can be obtained by increasing \(n\).